Technical Referee Report on Feynman (1948)

Variational Inconsistencies in the Path-Integral Approach

Edoardo Livolsi Referee

(Dated: November 27, 2025)

This report evaluates the 1948 path-integral formulation of R. P. Feynman using the closed variational structure enforced by the Universal Ψ Equation. The analysis identifies structural violations of variational closure, operator emergence, coherence constraints, and internal normalization. **Recommendation: Reject**.

RECOMMENDATION

Final Recommendation: Reject.

Although historically important, the 1948 path-integral construction does not satisfy the requirements of a closed, self-consistent variational theory. When tested against the Universal Ψ Equation, it exhibits irreparable structural inconsistencies.

I. UNIVERSAL Ψ VARIATIONAL EQUATION

The Universal Ψ Equation is defined by the functional variation

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\Psi^\dagger(x)}\left\{\left|\sum_{i,j}\Psi_i^\dagger\Gamma\Psi_j\right|^2-\lambda_1\sum_i(\Psi_i^\dagger\Psi_i-1)^2-\lambda_2\sum_{i,j,k}\mathrm{Tr}\Big[\Gamma(\Psi_i\Psi_j^\dagger-\Psi_k\Psi_i^\dagger)\Big]^2\right\}=0. \tag{1}$$

A universal theory must emerge from Eq. (1); no external assumptions are permitted.

II. FAILURE OF VARIATIONAL CLOSURE

Feynman introduces the classical action

$$S[x(t)]=\int(T-V)\,dt, \tag{2}$$

which is externally chosen and not generated by a closed functional. In contrast, Eq. (1) demands

$$S_{\text{phys}} = S_\Psi(\Psi, \Gamma, \lambda_1, \lambda_2), \tag{3}$$

rendering the classical ansatz incompatible with universal closure.

III. ARBITRARY POTENTIALS

The acceptance of arbitrary external potentials $V(x)$ violates variational self-consistency. A universal theory must generate effective potentials from

$$\partial V_{\text{eff}}(x) \sim \frac{\delta}{\delta \Psi^\dagger} \left| \sum_{i,j} \Psi_i^\dagger \Gamma \Psi_j \right|^2 . \tag{4}$$

Thus the path-integral structure is physically incomplete.

IV. LINEARITY VS. NONLINEAR COHERENCE

The identity

$$K_{ac} = \sum_b K_{ab} K_{bc} \tag{5}$$

enforces global linearity. The Ψ functional is intrinsically nonlinear:

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \Psi^\dagger} \left| \sum_{i,j} \Psi_i^\dagger \Gamma \Psi_j \right|^2 = 2 \left(\sum_{i,j} \Psi_i^\dagger \Gamma \Psi_j \right) \Gamma \Psi. \tag{6}$$

Thus path-integral linearity cannot be universal.

V. OPERATOR NON-EMERGENCE

Feynman's approach imports operators from classical mechanics. A universal theory requires operator emergence through

$$\Gamma = \arg\operatorname{ext} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Gamma (\Psi_i \Psi_j^\dagger - \Psi_k \Psi_i^\dagger) \right]^2 . \tag{7}$$

This mechanism is absent.

VI. INCOHERENT TRAJECTORY CONTRIBUTIONS

The measure $\int \mathcal{D}x$ includes trajectories violating the coherence constraint

$$\Gamma(\Psi_i \Psi_j^\dagger - \Psi_k \Psi_i^\dagger) = 0. \tag{8}$$

Thus the Feynman measure contains non-physical configurations.

VII. EXTERNAL CONSTANTS

Feynman introduces $\hbar$ by hand:

$$e^{iS/\hbar}. \tag{9}$$

A universal theory requires constants to emerge internally:

$$\hbar_{\text{eff}} \sim \left| \sum_{i,j} \Psi_i^\dagger \Gamma \Psi_j \right|. \tag{10}$$

VIII. NORMALIZATION

Normalization in the path integral is imposed *post hoc.* The Ψ functional enforces normalization through

$$\lambda_1(\Psi^\dagger \Psi - 1)^2. \tag{11}$$

IX. CONCLUSION

The 1948 path-integral approach, although historically influential, is not compatible with the requirements of a mathematically closed, self-consistent variational theory. Its open structure, reliance on external inputs, and lack of operator emergence justify the recommendation:

REJECT

APPENDIX A: EXTENDED MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

A1. Variational Closure Test

Consider the universal functional

$$\mathcal{F}[\Psi,\Gamma] = \left| \sum_{i,j} \Psi_i^\dagger \Gamma \Psi_j \right|^2 - \lambda_1 \sum_i (\Psi_i^\dagger \Psi_i - 1)^2 - \lambda_2 \sum_{i,j,k} \mathrm{Tr}\Big[\Gamma(\Psi_i \Psi_j^\dagger - \Psi_k \Psi_i^\dagger)\Big]^2 . \tag{12}$$

A universal theory requires

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \Psi^\dagger(x)} = 0 \tag{13}$$

to define the equations of motion.

The Feynman action

$$S[x(t)] = \int L(x,\dot{x})\,dt, \qquad L = T - V, \tag{14}$$

is not derivable from (**??**). Indeed,

$$S[x(t)] \neq \frac{\delta}{\delta \Psi^\dagger(x)} \mathcal{F}. \tag{15}$$

Therefore

$$\delta S = 0 \quad \text{is not compatible with} \quad \delta \mathcal{F} = 0. \tag{16}$$

This establishes the *first inconsistency*: the path-integral action is not the extremum of any closed functional.

A2. Failure of Potential Emergence

From (**??**),

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \Psi^\dagger} \left| \sum_{ij} \Psi_i^\dagger \Gamma \Psi_j \right|^2 = 2 \left(\sum_{ij} \Psi_i^\dagger \Gamma \Psi_j \right) \Gamma \Psi. \tag{17}$$

The effective potential must derive from

$$V_{\text{eff}}(x) \sim \Gamma \Psi(x). \tag{18}$$

But in Feynman,

$$V(x) \text{ is arbitrary.} \tag{19}$$

Hence

$$V(x) \not\sim V_{\text{eff}}(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{no potential compatibility.} \tag{20}$$

A3. Failure of Nonlinear Coherence

Define

$$C = \sum_{ij} \Psi_i^\dagger \Gamma \Psi_j. \tag{21}$$

Equation (??) shows

$$\delta C \sim \Gamma \Psi, \tag{22}$$

thus the equations of motion are nonlinear in Ψ.

By contrast, the Feynman kernel satisfies the linear composition rule

$$K_{ac} = \sum_b K_{ab} K_{bc}. \tag{23}$$

Linearity cannot arise from the nonlinear variation of a quadratic functional. Thus,

$$K \text{ cannot be the propagator of } \mathcal{F}. \tag{24}$$

A4. Operator-Generation Mismatch

The operator Γ must satisfy the internal extremality condition

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \Gamma} \sum_{ijk} \mathrm{Tr}\Big[\Gamma(\Psi_i \Psi_j^\dagger - \Psi_k \Psi_i^\dagger)\Big]^2 = 0. \tag{25}$$

Thus Γ is *generated* by Ψ.

In the path-integral formulation,

$$\Gamma \text{ does not exist.} \tag{26}$$

More precisely:

$$\Gamma_{\text{Feynman}} = \text{identity operator on scalar fields,} \tag{27}$$

which fails (??).

Therefore the path-integral has no operator emergence.

A5. Coherence Constraint vs. Path Summation

The coherence constraint is

$$\Gamma(\Psi_i \Psi_j^\dagger - \Psi_k \Psi_i^\dagger) = 0. \tag{28}$$

This selects a *coherent submanifold* of field configurations. By contrast, the path integral sums over all trajectories:

$$\int \mathcal{D}x \quad \text{includes all non-coherent paths.} \tag{29}$$

Thus the integration domain satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}x_{\text{Feynman}} \not\subset \mathcal{D}x_{\text{coh}}. \tag{30}$$

This is the strongest inconsistency: the path integral fundamentally violates the variational domain.

A6. External Constants

The Feynman amplitude is

$$e^{iS/\hbar}, \tag{31}$$

with $\hbar$ inserted externally.

From the Ψ structure,

$$\hbar_{\text{eff}} \sim |C| = \left| \sum_{ij} \Psi_i^\dagger \Gamma \Psi_j \right|. \tag{32}$$

Thus

$$\hbar \notin \mathcal{F} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{non-universal, non-emergent constant.} \tag{33}$$

A7. Normalization

The Ψ functional imposes

$$\Psi^\dagger \Psi = 1 \quad \text{via} \quad \lambda_1(\Psi^\dagger \Psi - 1)^2. \tag{34}$$

Path integrals impose normalization *after the calculation.* Thus the measure is not variationally admissible.

A8. Final Mathematical Conclusion

The inconsistencies established above prove that:

$$\boxed{\text{The Feynman path integral is not a variationally closed theory.}} \tag{35}$$

More strongly:

$$\boxed{K(x', x) \text{ cannot be derived from the Universal } \Psi \text{ Equation.}} \tag{36}$$

And therefore:

$$\boxed{\text{The 1948 path-integral is a linear computational approximation,not a fundamental physical law.}} \tag{37}$$

□

[1] E. Livolsi, *The Theory of Everything*, Zenodo (2025), DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17340645.

[2] R. P. Feynman, "Space-Time Approach to Non-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics," Rev. Mod. Phys. **20**, 367 (1948).

Declaration of Authorship and Conceptual Integrity

This report belongs to the foundational theoretical framework of the Universal Ψ Lagrangian.

Any use or reformulation must explicitly acknowledge its conceptual and mathematical origin.

Edoardo Livolsi – Independent Researcher

ORCID: `https://orcid.org/0009-0009-1266-8204`

License

Copyright

For permissions: `edoardopraga@gmail.com`